UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/541,153 | 06/30/2005 | Hajime Okura | P28124 | 4378 |
| | 7590 10/16/200 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.0 | | EXAMINER | |
| 1950 ROLAND | CLARKE PLACE | | PEREIRO, JORGE ANDRES | |
| RESTON, VA 20191 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3743 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/16/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--------------|--|
| 10/541,153 | | OKURA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | JORGE PEREIRO | 3749 | |

| | JORGE PEREIRO | 3749 | | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>26 September 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | which places the r (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires <u>S</u> months from the mailing date of the limit rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in betappeal; and/or | nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); | E below); | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a control NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally reje | cted claims. | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | , | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 1. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NO | | • | ed. | | | |
| See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| /Kenneth B Rinehart/ | Horae Parairel | | | | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3749 | /Jorge Pereiro/ Examiner, Art Unit 3743 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examination on the merits has ended. It should be kept in mind that applicant cannot, as a matter of right, amend any finally rejected claims or add new claims after a final rejection (37 CFR 1.116). In the response the applicant has amended claims 1 and 8-9 with no apparent justification. The deletion and replacement of "consisting of" with "comprising" and the deletion of subsection (c) in Claim 1 broadens the claim and would require further consideration or search. Similarly, the deletion of significant portions of Claims 8-9 along with significant additional limitations would require further consideration or search. Additionally, the applicant has failed to provide good and sufficient reasons why the amendment adding claims 10-11 is necessary and was not earlier introduced (37 CFR 1.116(b). Therefore, the amendment will not be entered.